



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,196	11/13/2003	Chang-Feng Wan	JSF002-0004	8284	
36605	7590 05/17/2005		EXAMINER		
	CES OF JAMES S. FINN	HU, SHOU	HU, SHOUXIANG		
1718 M STRI #294	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	ON, DC 20036-4504		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/712,19	16	WAN, CHANG-FENG				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Shouxiang	j Hu	2811				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess			
THE - External after after find the control of the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no evecation. ays, a reply within the statuory period will apply and with the spl	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) o Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDOI	timely filed days will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	านnication.			
Status								
· 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 25 <i>February 200</i>	<u>05</u> .					
2a)□	, ,	This action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	<u></u>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to b) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be e correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for t	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National St	tage			
			•					
Attachmer	, ,		4) 🖂 المعادلة عند المعادلة الم	201/PTO 413)				
1) 🔀 Notion 2) 🗌 Notion	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	0-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/2003</u> .		_	al Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 22-27are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 2-25-2005.
- 2. In addition, claims 3, 9, 10, 16 and 18-21 are also withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being unreadable on Applicant's elected Species I. And, it is noted that, upon the allowance of a generic claim within the active claims, such as claim 1, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of such an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

 MPEP 809.02(a).
- 3. Accordingly, claims 1-29 are pending in this application. And, claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-15, 17, 28 and 29 remain active in this office action.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-15, 17, 28 and 29 are objected to because of the following informalities and/or defects:

In claims 1 and 2, the term of "said adhesive" should read as: --said adhesive layer--.

Art Unit: 2811

In claim 1, the term of "patterning and depositing" should read as: --depositing and patterning--.

In claim 1, "a sidewall around" should read as: --sidewalls respectively around--.

In claim 6, the term of "the step" should read as: --a step--.

In claim 7, the term of "said deposited films" lacks a sufficient antecedent basis in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-15, 17, 28 and 29 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-2, 4-8, 11-15, 17, 30 and/or 31 of copending Application No. 10/858,017 (see US 2004/0219706 A1), as they are identical and/or recite substantially same subject matters, respectively. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,196 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 10, 2005

SHOUXIANG HE

Showaraiego